

W!

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of

Arie ARIAV

Serial No.: 10/522,769

Filed: January 31, 2005

For: VOICE CONTROLLED  
SYSTEM AND METHOD

Examiner: Vijay B. CHAWAN



§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Group Art Unit: 2626

Our Ref: 29181

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA  
22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Sir,

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Final Office Action mailed September 30, 2008, which response is being made on or before December 30, 2008, and for which no extension of time fee is due.

Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration of the Application in light of the remarks set forth below, as it is believed that such favorable reconsideration will avoid the necessity of an Appeal.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner repeated the rejection of all the pending claims, namely original claims 1-20, under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Tzirkel-Hancock (US Patent No. 5,960,395). In reply to Applicant's response of June 26, 2008 the Examiner states:

"In response to the Applicant's argument that includes additional elements not required by Applicant's invention, it must be noted that the prior art reference of Tzirkel-Hancock discloses the invention as claimed. The fact that it discloses additional elements not claimed is irrelevant."